

PROCESS AUTHORITY THROUGH LAYERED VOICE CONTROL

by Seda Sürel

INTRODUCTION

1. Function

This structure certifies that a process was triggered and completed through institutional procedure, while deliberately removing individual visibility.

Contrast of agency:

- **Direct action:**

"İnceledik."

(We examined it.)

→ Actor visible. Responsibility is personal.

- **Causative action:**

"İncelettik."

(We had it examined.)

→ Authority visible. Execution delegated.

- **Causative-passive outcome:**

"İnceletildi."

(It was caused to be examined.)

→ Both the authority who ordered the action and the executor who performed it are suppressed.

Operational truth:

- An authority figure triggered the process.
- A separate actor executed it.
- The sentence proves supervision occurred while hiding both parties.

Organizations use this structure to maintain institutional authority (the causative proves oversight) while avoiding individual exposure (the passive prevents attribution if outcomes are challenged).

2. Forms

The construction is created by stacking two voice operations on one verb:

- **[Verb Stem] + [Causative] + [Passive] + [Tense / Agreement]**

Examples:

- "incele-" → "inceletildi"
- "hazırla-" → "hazırlatıldı"
- "onayla-" → "onaylatıldı"

The power of the form lies in the order of stacking, not in the verb itself.

PROCESS AUTHORITY THROUGH LAYERED VOICE CONTROL

by Seda Sürel

3. Morphology

A) Causative Layer: Triggering Authority

Causative suffixes -t, -lr, -tlr encode that an instruction was issued.

High-level allomorph logic:

- **Polysyllabic stems: usually -t**
"incele-" → "incelet-"
- **Vowel-final stems: -t**
"oku-" → "okut-"
- **Some monosyllabic stems: -Dlr / -tlr**
"bil-" → "bildir-"
"at-" → "attır-"
"al-" → "aldır-"
- **Some monosyllabic stems use -lr / -Ar**
"çık-" → "çıkar-"
"düş-" → "düşür-"

B) Passive Layer: Removing the Authority

Passive suffixes -Il / -In (with vowel harmony) remove the causer from the surface structure.

Critical rule:

- After a causative -t, the passive is always -Il (harmonized):
"incelet-" → "inceletil-"
"hazırlat-" → "hazırlatıl-"

C) Step-by-Step Build Sequence

- **Base:** "incele"
- **Step 1: Causative** → "incelet" (cause to examine)
- **Step 2: Passive** → "inceletil" (be caused to examine)
- **Step 3: Past** → "inceletildi" (was caused to be examined)
- **Step 4: Evidential** → "inceletilmiş" (has been caused to be examined as observable fact)

D) Why the Sequence Cannot Be Reversed

The causative must apply first because it redefines the action ("examine" becomes "cause-to-examine"). Only then can the passive remove the causer. Reversing the order would attempt to "cause something to be passive," which is semantically empty.

PROCESS AUTHORITY THROUGH LAYERED VOICE CONTROL

by Seda Sürel

4. Structural Guide

Semantic flow of authority suppression:

- Action is redefined as caused
- The causer is erased
- The executor becomes optional or erased
- The process remains as certified fact

Agent-visibility comparison:

- **Full visibility:**

"Müdür raporu uzmana inceletti."
(Director and expert visible.)

- **Partial anonymization:**

"Rapor uzmana inceletildi."
(Executor visible, authority hidden.)

- **Full anonymization:**

"Rapor inceletildi."
(Neither authority nor executor visible.)

Each step increases organizational distance.

5. Usage

This structure is used where administrative distancing and procedural proof matter more than credit or blame.

Strategic deployment:

- **Internal audits:**

"Belgeler inceletildi."
→ Confirms review occurred while hiding who ordered and who executed it.

- **Regulatory filings:**

"Uygunluk raporu onaylatildi."
→ Shows approval chain was activated without naming decision-makers.

- **Organizational restructuring:**

"Yeni prosedürler hazırlatildi."
→ Emphasizes that proper steps were taken, not who preferred them.

Strategic nuance:

- **Full anonymization:**

"Belgeler inceletildi."
Use when investigation is sensitive and naming anyone creates risk.

ASPECT AND INSTITUTIONAL TIME II: DEFINITIVE OUTCOMES AND CLOSURE

by Seda Sürel

- **Partial anonymization:**

“Belgeler bağımsız uzmanlara inceletildi.”

Use when executor credibility matters, but the orderer must remain protected.

Examples

A) Scale of Agency

- “Onayladık.”

Use when: claiming ownership strengthens position.

- “Onaylattık.”

Use when: showing delegation protects from execution errors.

- “Onaylatıldı.”

Use when: procedural compliance matters more than credit.

B) Executive Masterpiece

“Gerekli tüm dökümanlar bağımsız kuruluşlara inceletilmiş ve uygunluk raporu onaylatılmıştır.”

Surgical deconstruction:

- “**inceletilmiş**”

incele-: base action

-t: authority triggered the action

-il: authority disappears

-miş: observable, completed fact

- “**onaylatılmıştır**”

onayla-: base action

-t: approval was required

-il: approver erased

-miş: factual state

-tır: institutional certification

Organizational distance from CEO to text:

- **Layer 1:** Decision to trigger (-t)
- **Layer 2:** Removal of decision-maker (-il)
- **Layer 3:** Conversion to observable fact (-miş)
- **Layer 4:** Institutional certification (-tır)

Result: the directive is legally documented while remaining personally untraceable.

ASPECT AND INSTITUTIONAL TIME II: DEFINITIVE OUTCOMES AND CLOSURE

by Seda Sürel

Notes

- **Two-layer liability firewall**

The causative proves authority was exercised (no negligence).

The passive removes the authority figure (no personal liability).

- **Chain-of-command signal**

Even without names, the causative suffix signals hierarchical activation.

- **Process over personality**

Outcomes are framed as system products, not individual decisions.

- **Limitation**

This structure protects individuals, not flawed systems.

If the process itself is defective, documenting that it was followed can increase institutional liability rather than reduce it.

This is not vagueness. It is engineered procedural clarity.